

**Authors**

Mushfiq Mobarak  
Yale University

Grant Miller  
Stanford University

Puneet Dwivedi  
University of Georgia

Robert Bailis  
Stockholm Environment Institute

Lynn Hildemann  
Stanford University

PNAS

## Low demand for nontraditional cookstove technologies

Ahmed Mushfiq Mobarak<sup>a,1</sup>, Puneet Dwivedi<sup>b</sup>, Robert Bailis<sup>c</sup>, Lynn Hildemann<sup>d</sup>, and Grant Miller<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Stern School of Management, New York University, New Haven, CT 06511; <sup>b</sup>School of Forestry & Environmental Studies, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511;

<sup>c</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305; and <sup>d</sup>School of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94301

Edited by Stephen Polasky, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, and approved May 8, 2012 (received for review September 28, 2011)

Biomass combustion with traditional cookstoves causes substantial environmental harm. Nontraditional cookstove technologies can be efficacious in reducing this adverse impact, but they are adopted and used at puzzlingly low rates. This study analyzes the determinants of low demand for nontraditional cookstoves in rural Bangladesh by using both stated-preference (from a nationally representative survey of rural women) and revealed preference (inferred by conducting a cluster-randomized trial of cookstove price) approaches. We find consistent and strong across-basis analyses suggesting that women in rural Bangladesh do not perceive indoor air pollution as a significant health hazard, and perceive other basic developmental needs over nontraditional cookstoves, and overwhelmingly rely on a few traditional cookstove technology and are therefore not willing to pay much for a new nontraditional cookstove. Effects to improve health and abate environmental harm by promoting nontraditional cookstoves may be more successful by designing and implementing nontraditional cookstoves with features valued more highly by users, such as increased of energy efficiency, which these features are not directly related to the unmeasured health and environmental impacts.

consumer demand requirements | technology adoption | development economics

**B**iomass combustion with traditional cookstoves is the primary cause in developing countries of indoor air pollution (1), a major global health hazard (1–4). A conservative estimate suggests that biomass combustion with traditional cookstoves for fuel combustion is responsible for nearly 1% of the global disease burden and 4% of the disease burden in the high-mortality developing regions of the world (5, 6). Beyond health impacts, traditional cookstoves have substantial environmental consequences as well. Traditional cookstoves are inefficient, harnessing only 5–15% of their calorific energy (7). As a result, we are adding large quantities of fuel to the atmosphere through inefficient combustion, rapidly decreasing agricultural productivity and contributing to forest degradation (8, 9). Traditional cookstoves also contribute to global warming (10). Incomplete combustion releases heat-trapping pollutants, including methane and black carbon, which have a greater global warming potential than CO<sub>2</sub>. These emissions do not just come from cooking (11, 12). Unfortunately, increasing energy demand compounds this problem because carbon dioxide emitted during combustion is not sequestered by subsequent plant growth.

Despite these negative effects, half of the world's population and 75% of South Asians continue to burn solid fuel in inefficient traditional cookstoves for cooking and heating (13, 14). Many governments and development organizations have attempted to convert inefficient and polluting traditional cookstoves to nontraditional cookstoves (15), but the adoption and use of these nontraditional cookstoves in the developing world has, with few exceptions, remained disappointingly low (16). [The primary exception is China (17, 18).] Low rates of adoption may be attributable to different ideas of what constitutes improvement over traditional cookstove technologies. Cookstove programs typically focus on fuel savings, health benefits, or, increasingly, reduced greenhouse gas emissions reductions. However, depending on the technology, the so-called “improved” cookstoves may not bring improvements in all of these dimensions.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, as our study demonstrates, health improvements and emission reductions may not be

achieved by cookstove users. In fact, across rural Bangladesh, we found that women are less likely to switch to a new nontraditional cookstove (20) despite years of efforts to promote nontraditional cookstove technologies (21), and 47% of rural Bangladeshi households surveyed in this study have never seen a nontraditional cookstove. In contrast to the vast body of literature on the health and environmental effects of nontraditional cookstoves, there is a paucity of evidence on the determinants of nontraditional cookstove adoption. Only a few studies address the determinants of clean cookstove adoption, mostly by using qualitative approaches and nonexperimental evidence. Specific factors suggested to explain low adoption rates include expense (22–26), difficulty using cookstoves correctly (26), low levels of formal education (27), lack of knowledge about the benefits of nontraditional cookstoves (28), mismatch between cookstove values and household values (29), and lack of social support in household decision-making (29). While much research remains to be done on the demand for new varieties of cookstoves and effective distribution strategies for become more urgent.

This paper presents two analyses of underlying preferences for cookstove technologies in the context of rural Bangladesh. First, we report survey evidence on women's stated preferences for cookstove technologies that provides their perceptions about the value of various attributes, whether they prefer cookstoves they value most highly (including health and non-health factors) and how they prioritize cookstoves relative to other basic developmental needs. Second, we present experimental evidence on households' revealed preferences for two types of nontraditional cookstove designs, one that advertises fuel-efficiency gains and

<sup>1</sup>Author contributions: A.M.M., L.H., and G.M. designed research; A.M.M., P.D., R.B., R.H., and G.M. performed research; A.M.M., P.D., and G.M. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; A.M.M., P.D., R.B., and G.M. analyzed data; and A.M.M., P.D., R.B., and G.M. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

For article citations and more information, see [www.pnas.org/copyrightinfo.html](http://www.pnas.org/copyrightinfo.html).

The article is freely available online at [www.pnas.org](http://www.pnas.org).

DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1152571109

Published online June 11, 2012; first published online June 4, 2012. This article may be used for noncommercial purposes, but permission to reprint or distribute requires prior permission from the author(s) and the publisher.

© 2012 by the authors. 0027-8424/12/10115257\$15.00/0

Published online June 11, 2012; first published online June 4, 2012. This article may be used for noncommercial purposes, but permission to reprint or distribute requires prior permission from the author(s) and the publisher.

1 of 8

# Low demand for nontraditional cookstove technologies

Biomass combustion with traditional cookstoves causes substantial environmental and health harm. Nontraditional cookstove technologies can be efficacious in reducing this adverse impact, but they are adopted and used at puzzlingly low rates. This study analyzes the determinants of low demand for nontraditional cookstoves in rural Bangladesh by using both

stated preference (from a nationally representative survey of rural women) and revealed preference (assessed by conducting a cluster-randomized trial of cookstove prices) approaches. We find consistent evidence across both analyses suggesting that the women in rural Bangladesh do not perceive indoor air pollution as a significant health hazard, prioritize other basic developmental needs over nontraditional cookstoves, and overwhelmingly rely on a free traditional cookstove technology and are therefore not willing to pay much for a new nontraditional cookstove. Efforts to improve health and abate environmental harm by promoting nontraditional cookstoves may be more successful by designing and disseminating nontraditional cookstoves with features valued more highly by users, such as reduction of operating costs, even when those features are not directly related to the cookstoves' health and environmental impacts.

May 01, 2012