

## Authors

Christopher Blattman The University of Chicago

Robert Blair Brown University

Alexandra Hartman University College London

American Political Science Review

Page 1 of 21 February 2014

American Political Science Association 201

How to Promote Order and Property Rights under Weak Rule of Law? An Experiment in Changing Dispute Resolution Behavior through Community Education

CHRISTOPHER BLATTMAN Columbia University ALEXANDRA C. HARTMAN Yale University ROBERT A. BLAIR Yale University

pages ensolution institutions facilitate agreements and presents the peace whenever property eight not represent to work states, merginiting from all situations on take discusses, and to state and add interventions also by to shape informal practices and revens governing disquast. Their goal into improve hargositing and commissionest that limiting disputes and violence. Must admitted admitted the provinces alternative dispute resolution (ADR) are common examples of these interventions. We statistical the short-term impacts of one such campaign on the Deriv, where property disputes are ordenic. Residents of 86 of 246 tower randomly received statistics in ADR practices and norms; this training reached 15% of adults. One year last reseal tower that higher mestodom of land disputes and inversiblence impacts apilled over to statistical residents. We also use satistanded consequences more extrapiclised in passivement and province of the statistic residents. The statistic residence describes and the province of the metally increase over colonical disagreements. Results imply that must education can change high-stakes behaviors, and improving informal bargaining and enforcement behavior can promote orden in weeds states.

very land boundary, business deal, will, or loan risks giving rise to a coatly disagreement or dispute, some of which turn violent. Effective systems of dispute resolution are thus essential to order and development. They reduce the risk of violent conflict, proseet property rights, and keep transaction and contract costs low. By yielding these effects, these dispute resolution systems should promote investment, impersonal exchange, and economic growth.

Christopher Blatman is Assistant Professor of Political Science and International Affairs, Cirkurbia University, Department of Politics & SIPs, 420 W 118<sup>th</sup> St, New York, NY 1002

Alexandro C. Hartman is Ph. D. candidate in Political Science, Yal-University. Department of Political Science, 115 Prospect Street New Hartm. CT 00520 (alexandro hartmanthys) condulation A. Maria is Ph. D. candidate in Political Science, Volv Uni-

Bohott A. Blair is Ph.D. candidate in Political Science, Vale Univenity, Department of Political Science, 115 Prospect Street, New Horen, CT 00520 (subset blair@yale.ode).

The United Nations High Commission for Relayage (UNRC) and the 1 stress have and Prace Commission (1976) positionated for interventions, and or fload Manusian Halah, Jones Helds, John Hall, State Helds, John Santa Halah, Jones Helds, John Santa Halah, Jones Helds, John Santa Halah, Halah Halah, Halah Hala

The quality of dispute resolution systems is tied to the quality of a swelety's institutions—tendes that structure social relations (Knight 1992; North 1990). Formal institutions such as the courts generally reorive the most attention. Yet social interactions such as dispute resolution are also shaped by informal institutions—the shared, unwritten microd appropriate behavior enforced through social sanction and praise (Ellickson 1991; Knight 1992; North 1994). In developing countries informal rules, particles, and mora are the main ways through which communities protect

Informal institutions, however, are often imperfect they may be biased to ward the powerful. They may no cikelt private information, resulting in costly negotia tions and a greater fish of breaking down into violence Marcower, without contral enforcement, they may produce burgains that are difficult to keep. These are clastic burgaining failures, most commonly applied to understanding labor and international relations (Fearor 1998; Kennan and Wilson 1903).

Improving formal intrinstions can take decades. In the short term, what can states and societies do to improve the quality of informal dispute association. In this article, we experimentally evaluate an education campaign designed to promote alternative dispute resolution (ADR) across 86 communities in postwar Liberia. ADR is a set of informal practices and normal of regolution and seedation that are intended to help parties reach self-enforcing/bargains/saser-thus can the course (Leberman and Hearty 1988, Mosokin 1998). We argue that the effect of ADR on conflict in best understood through the less of noncooperative bargaining. In effect, ADR aims to overcome several barfors as decembrating bargaining by spending the process, reducing private information, increasing the range of conformation trappins, and getting parties to behave racord overable by argins, and getting parties to behave ra-

1

## How to Promote Order and Property Rights under Weak Rule of Law? An Experiment in Changing Dispute Resolution Behavior through Community Education

Dispute resolution institutions facilitate agreements and preserve the peace whenever



property rights are imperfect. In weak states, strengthening formal institutions can take decades, and so state and aid interventions also try to shape informal practices and norms governing disputes. Their goal is to improve bargaining and commitment, thus limiting disputes and violence. Mass education campaigns that promote alternative dispute resolution (ADR) are common examples of these interventions. We studied the short-term impacts of one such campaign in Liberia, where property disputes are endemic. Residents of 86 of 246 towns randomly received training in ADR practices and norms; this training reached 15% of adults. One year later, treated towns had higher resolution of land disputes and lower violence. Impacts spilled over to untrained residents. We also saw unintended consequences: more extrajudicial punishment and (weakly) more nonviolent disagreements. Results imply that mass education can change high-stakes behaviors, and improving informal bargaining and enforcement behavior can promote order in weak states.

February 01, 2014