

# Staff

Savanna Henderson Associate Program Manager

Michael Rosenbaum Research Methods & Data Coordinator





# What does the evidence say about pre-survey SMS contact?

Improving response rates

There is some evidence, but a limited amount, indicating that pre-survey SMS contact increases response rates, but the evidence varies across studies. In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), response rates increased between three and eight percentage points and may work in conjunction with monetary incentives. There is limited evidence on effects on sample composition. This brief investigates existing evidence on pre-survey SMS contact.

### Motivation

A primary concern with phore surveys is the response rates. This is especially true for rando midigit dial (RCO) or similar "toid call" phone surveys, which are necessary in the absence of a sample frame of reliable phone numbers. From an operational standpoint, low response rates mean high costs of interviewer time spent on unsuccessful attempts. Non-response at a minimum likely means the resulting sample intri representative as reopondent pools are made up of only the most available, compliant individuals and those with working phones at the time of the survey.

Pre-survey SMS contact is a promising approach to increase response rates by improving the likelihood that respondents will arrower the phone (prenotification) and/or by improving their will ingress to take and complete the survey (intrinsic motivation). Understanding how pre-survey SMS prenotification and intrinsic motivation affect response rates is important to understand data quality of phone surveys in LMICS.

# Existing Evidence

Previous research in the United States, Europe and Australia indicates that prenodification<sup>1</sup> in the form of advance letters improves cooperation and response rates in telephone surveys.<sup>2</sup> However, prenotification of remote survey methods has not been studied in detail in LMICs.

One study used pre-survey SMS notifications for a computer-assisted telephone interview (CAT) to test the effects of intrinsic motivation on survey completion. Sending the advance SMS resulted in a significant increase in response rates as shown in Figure 1. However, the mechanism could not be identified between prenotification or behavioral messaging within the SMS. The study also tested the effect of extrinsic incentives (compensation). They found that the combination of incentives resulted in an aggregate improvement in response rates.

Other evidence for CATI surveys suggests that prenotification SMS may not be effective for controlling panel attrition, though the content and format of the messages varied and prompted a

Basic characteristics of prespirit cation letters include mention of the research agency, conduct information for questions, subject of the survey and standarders, amongs by confidentiality statement, and dualition of the survey.

"On the set of 2020,"
"Assemble than \$1.500."

PM's evidence briefs are part of a series reviewing existing evidence on in-plementing surveys, using computeracts test designer enterviewing CCMT and other remote survey modes. These briefs have made possible under agreement support from a end on lieboration with his rhwestern University's Clashal Powerly Research Lab (CPRLL) it was considered to Conserve Medicine on and Michael Resembleance with his following from Conserve Conserved.

# **Evidence Brief: Pre-Contact Notifications** in Remote Surveys

As part of IPA's response to COVID-19, many existing and new data collections have shifted to remote data collection modes including computer-assisted telephone interviews (CATI), interactive voice response (IVR), and SMS surveys. These remote data collection modes allow research to continue, but there are many open questions about whether these types of data collection can effectively substitute for face-to-face surveying. Research on remote survey methods in low- and medium-income countries (LMICs) has been conducted intermittently over the past decade. This brief provides information on existing research on how pre-survey notifications affect response rates and sample composition. It provides suggestions on mechanisms that pre-survey notifications operate through as well as some suggestions for



future research.

July 29, 2020